

The Observation of Lunar Impacts

Costantino Sigismondi and Giovanni Imponente

The intense activity of cratering on the Moon and in the inner regions of the solar system was accomplished during the first 10^9 years [1]. Occasionally, some impact events occur even nowadays. In Section 1, we treat, from a historical point of view, the Earth-based observation of lunar impacts. In Section 2, we consider the visibility conditions of such events evaluating the luminosity of the background upon which an impact shines. In Section 3, the luminosity of an impact is discussed. The occurrence of lunar impact events outside of meteor shower periods is calculated using the hourly rate of the sporadic meteors and their population index. The evidence of a larger rate of impacts of meteoroids in the past under these hypotheses is presentend in the last section.

1. Evidence of lunar impacts

The first important evidence of lunar impacts has been the observation recorded on June 18, 1178 (Julian calendar), by a few men after sunset and registered in the chronicles of Gervase of Canterbury [2]. They observed the upper horn of a crescent moon to have split with fire and sparks emanating from the division point. This report was interpreted to be a description of events related to the formation of the lunar crater Giordano Bruno [3].

On November 18 and 19, 1999, several impact events of initial magnitude between +3 and +7 were recorded with a video tape and by naked-eye near the center of the Moon's dark limb. Those flashes resulted from Leonid impacts on the Moon, because the center of the 1899 dust trail would have passed 0.0002 AU, from the selenocenter around 4^h49^m UT [4].

The Giordano Bruno crater event is reliably exceptional, while the last are more frequent. The observation from Padua, Italy, of a probable lunar impac during the total eclipse of the Moon on January 21, 2000, done by C. Sigismondi, confirms that assertion.

2. Luminosity of a lunar impact

Except for the very rare events such as the one reported by Gervase, it is necessary to have a dark background upon which the flashes of lunar meteors can be visible. Hence, there are three favorable conditions for observing such a phenomenon:

1. in the ash-grey light of the Moon, close to New Moon;
2. far from the dark limb around First or Last Quarter;
3. during a total eclipse.

The last conditon is the most favorable one, but also the least frequent one.

In the case of the lunar Leonids the Moon phase was 62%, while for the Giordano Bruno event, it was 11% [5]

Ash-grey light

The maximum brightness of the Moon due to the ash-grey light occurs during a total eclipse of the Sun. That value has been measured in order to study the coronal aureola phenomenon [6], and it is $10^{+9.3}$ fainter than the solar disk. It means that the Moon in ash-grey light shines like a magnitude -3 star, say its surface brightness B during the total eclipse of September 22, 1968, was equal to about +13 per square arcsecond.

Total eclipse of the Moon

It is well known that a total lunar eclipse is measurable by de-focusing a star and comparing its image with the eclipsed Moon. Typically, the eclipsed Moon shines as a magnitude 0 star, but exceptionally it shines as a magnitude +3 star [7]. Hence, for the surface brightness B , we typically find +16 per square arcsecond.

The authors are affiliated with the Department of Physics, University of Rome "La Sapienza," and ICRA, International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, P^{le} A. Moro 2, I-00185 Rome, Italy. The first author can be contacted at sigismondi@icra.it.

The First or Last Quarter of the Moon

The second case is intermediate with respect to the previous ones. The dark quarter of the Moon is illuminated by a quarter of the Earth, and therefore the amount of light coming from the Earth is about half that of the New Moon case. It corresponds to a surface brightness of about +14 per square arcsecond.

We emphasize that all those surface brightnesses are calculated for the *dark* area of the Moon. For naked-eye observations, however, if the bright part of the Moon is not artificially occultated, because of the atmospheric glare and the bleaching of the eye, the effective luminosity background is even brighter than that of the ash-grey light.

3. The number of lunar meteors calculated by the sporadic rate

We assume that the 5 lunar events recorded by videotape during 1999 Leonids shower belong to the same ensemble of meteors with equal population index $r = 2.5$ and similar normalization factor such that the $ZHR \approx 4000$.

Analogously to the formula that gives the number of observed meteors versus the limiting magnitude, already corrected by the position of the radiant, we have

$$N_{\text{obs}} = ZHR \times r^{-(6.5 - \text{lm})} \times \Delta t_{\text{h}},$$

where r is the population index, and we can affirm that the total amount of the lunar Leonids observed around the peak time should be very bright fireballs if they fall onto the Earth. Therefore, the following equation, integrated over 2 hours of observation, is valid:

$$N_{\text{obs}} = 2 \times ZHR \times r^{-x}.$$

For $N_{\text{obs}} = 5$ in two hours of registration, $ZHR \approx 4000$, and for the Leonids' population index $r = 2.5$ we obtain $x \sim 8$.

To calculate the probability to have similar events out of the maxima of meteors shower, one needs to consider the hourly rate HR and the population index r_{Spor} of the sporadic meteors: $HR \approx 10$ and $r_{\text{Spor}} = 3.4$ [8]. This yields the equation

$$N_{\text{exp}} = 10 \times 3.4^{-x} \times \Delta t_{\text{h}}.$$

With $x \approx 8$, the expected value of sporadic lunar impacts to be observed in two hours is $N_{\text{exp}} \approx 1/900$.

In this calculation, we have considered the background conditions similar to that ones of the lunar Leonids of 1999, with the Moon phase at 62%. If we consider the illumination conditions during a Moon eclipse, we can reach a background which is 2 to 3 magnitudes per square arcsecond fainter. That fact implies an improvement of the detection of the lunar meteor of a factor given by r_{Spor}^2 to r_{Spor}^3 , which is in the range 10–30.

Consequently, during the totality of a Moon eclipse (about two hours) occurring outside one of meteors shower maxima, $N_{\text{ecl}} = 1/90$ – $1/30$ lunar impacts are expected to be visible up to magnitude +8.

This number should be reduced significantly if we assume a non-homogeneous distribution of the matter inside the meteor stream at which we refer our calculation. Since the Moon approached the core of the 1899 dust trail much closer than the Earth, this is a real possibility. As a limiting case, we consider the effective $ZHR = 150\,000$ at 0.0002 AU apart of the center of 1899 dust trail (as in the 1966 Leonids shower [9]). For this limiting case, all computed probabilities should be divided by 30–40.

4. Kinetic energy assessment

We consider the temperature-kinetic energy relation for an object at given impact velocity $v = 41$ km/s, obtained averaging the geocentric velocities of all known meteors showers. We evaluate the luminosity due to the radiation of the kinetic energy. The kinetic energy in calories (C_M) can be obtained by dividing the kinetic energy $Mv^2/2$ computed in Joules by 4.18. Such an amount of calories corresponds to an increment of temperature (neglecting the melting heats) of $\Delta T \approx C_M/M$ if M is expressed in grams in the latter equation. Combining both equations, we see that the mass cancels out, and we find $\Delta T \approx 2 \times 10^5$ K.

In what follows, we consider a 10 g ice meteoroid. Applying the Stefan-Boltzmann law of black-body emission at a given temperature, we receive from a mass at 2×10^5 K on the Moon the following amount of radiation:

$$W_M = \frac{\sigma T^4 \times A_M}{4\pi d_{\text{Moon}}^2} \approx 3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2,$$

where $A_M \approx (M/\rho)^{2/3}$ is the area of the incoming meteoroid (yielding $A_M \approx 5 \text{ cm}^2$ in our case), and $d_{\text{Moon}} \approx 3.84 \times 10^8 \text{ m}$ is the Earth-Moon average distance.

To calculate the visual magnitude, we must take into account that the eye is sensitive in a range of wavelengths far from the peak for a temperature of $T \approx 2 \times 10^5$ K, which means a reduction of a factor 10 for the effective temperature visible (say 20 000 K), corresponding to a reduction of 10^4 in the detected intensity. Therefore, the energy flux of such an event of mass $M = 10 \text{ g}$ is $W_M \approx 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ W/m}^2$, i.e., a magnitude

$$m = -2.5 \log \frac{3 \times 10^{-12}}{3.7 \times 10^{-9}} = 7.7,$$

where $3.7 \times 10^{-9} \text{ W/m}^2$ is the visual energy flux corresponding to a magnitude 0 event [10]. The final value of the above equation corresponds to the observed magnitudes of lunar Leonids [4].

Given the formula [11]

$$m_E = 40 - 2.5 \log(2.732 \times 10^{10} M^{0.92} v_G^{3.91}),$$

where m_E is the magnitude, M the meteoroid mass in grams, and v_G its geocentric velocity in km/s, we can relate the mass M of a lunar meteoroid to its magnitude m_E if it would fall in the Earth's atmosphere. For a meteor with $v_G = 41 \text{ km/s}$ and $M = 10 \text{ g}$, we have $m_E = -4.2$.

Using the formula giving the number of meteors brighter than the limiting magnitude lm , $N_{\text{obs}} = \text{ZHR} \times r^{-(6.5-\text{lm})}$, we can obtain the expected hourly number of meteoroids larger than mass M by substituting m_E from the previous equation for “lm.” We assume moreover that such number is the same for the Moon. (This last assumption neglects all the geometrical effects due to the perspective of the line of sight. It is like considering that we can observe only the events occurring on the central zone of the Moon disk, and here we assume the same hourly rate observed from the Earth.)

For the 1999 Leonids, we expect $N_{\text{exp}} = 4000 \times 2.5^{-10.7} \approx 0.2$ per hour. Assuming $\text{ZHR} = 150\,000$, because the Moon passed closer to the central zone of the meteor stream $N_{\text{exp}} \approx 8$ per hour, in agreement with the observations.

For the sporadic rate we expect a much smaller number, namely $N_{\text{exp}} = 10 \times 3.4^{-10.7} \approx 2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ per hour. During a total eclipse of the Moon of 2 hours, we expect only $N_{\text{exp}} \approx 4.1 \times 10^{-5}$ events, say $N_{\text{exp}} \approx 1/24000$. This value is only one order of magnitude smaller than the one derived in Section 3.

5. History of cratering in the inner solar system

Assuming that the impact rate of meteoroids has remained constant during the last 5 billion years, we can estimate the expected value of craters larger than diameter D on the Moon's surface. We compare this number with the observational evidence.

A crater of diameter $D \approx 4$ km—which corresponds to 2 arc seconds at the Moon’s distance—and depth $a \approx 100$ m is obtained from the impact of a meteoroid of mass M and velocity v_G . For simplicity, we assume that the kinetic energy of the incoming body is almost able to raise the material contained in the the crater to a height of $4D$ (for the Copernicus crater, surrounded by a great radial structure visible at Full Moon, this value is largely underestimated). Hence,

$$Mv_G^2/2 = g_{\text{Moon}}M_{\text{Crater}} \times 4D,$$

where g_{Moon} is the gravitational acceleration for the Moon ($g_{\text{Moon}} \approx 1.42$ m/s²), and M_{Crater} is the mass of the matter removed to form the crater. We find

$$M = \frac{2g_{\text{Moon}}\rho_{\text{Moon}}\pi a(D/2)^2 \times 4D}{v_G^2},$$

and, assuming again $v_G = 41$ km/s and $\rho_{\text{Moon}} \approx 2$ g/cm³ (the lunar density), we finally obtain $M \approx 7.4 \times 10^7$ kg. According to the formula in [11], such a meteoroid falling on the Earth should have a magnitude $m_E = -20$. The number of sporadic meteors brighter than $m_E = -20$ scaled for the Moon’s surface $A_{\text{Moon}}/A_{\text{obs}}$ gives us the expected number of craters larger than 4 km above the Moon’s surface. Here, A_{obs} is the area from which an observer can see a meteor trail occurring at an altitude of $h = 80$ km in the Earth’s atmosphere:

$$A_{\text{obs}} \sim 2\pi R_{\text{Earth}}h$$

($R_{\text{Earth}} = 6378$ km is the Earth’s radius and $R_{\text{Moon}} = 1739$ km is the Moon’s radius). Hence, we find

$$\frac{A_{\text{Moon}}}{A_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{R_{\text{Moon}}^2}{R_{\text{Earth}}h} = 5.93.$$

Integration with the above scaling factor over 5×10^9 years, which is about 4.4×10^{13} hours, yields

$$N_{\text{exp}} = 5.93 \times 4.4 \cdot 10^{13} \times 10 \times (3.4)^{-26.5} \approx 20.$$

This expected number is lower than the number of crater visible even with an amateur equipment, and it demonstrates that in the past the impact rate was larger than today. If we compare with the extrapolated number of craters without considering the outflows of basalt of the maria, the evidence becomes even more striking.

References

- [1] J.K. Beatty, C.C. Petersen, A. Chaikin, eds., “The New Solar System”, Fourth Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [2] J.B. Hartung, *Journal of Geophysical Research* 98:E5, 1993, p .9141.
- [3] J.B. Hartung, *Meteoritics* 11, 1976, pp. 187–194.
- [4] D.W. Dunham, in *IAU Circular* 7320, 1999.
- [5] E.C. Downey, “EPHEM—An Interactive Astronomical Ephemeris Program”, Version 4.27 V, March 11, 1992; Copyright 1990, 1991; VGA Watch plots by J.D. McDonald (free of charge).
- [6] O. Koutchmy, S. Koutchmy, *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* 13, 1974, pp. 295.
- [7] S.J. O’Meara, *Sky and Telescope* 99:1, 2000, p. 109.
- [8] P. Jenniskens, *Astron. Astrophys.* 287, 1994, p. 990.
- [9] Z. Wu, I.P. Williams, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 280, 1996, p. 1210.
- [10] C. Barbieri, “Lezioni di Astronomia”, Zanichelli, Bologna, 1999, p. 240.
- [11] R. Arlt, P. Brown, *WGN* 27:6, December 1999, p. 278.